PREPARATION AND IN VITRO BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF THE ENANTIOMERS OF THE DIHYDROPYRIDINE BMY 20014, A COMBINATION CALCIUM AND α_1 -ADRENORECEPTOR ANTAGONIST

John E. Lawson*, Graham S. Poindexter*, Donald A. Owens, Robert L. Cavanagh, Gregory D. Goggins, J. George Sarmiento, Bonnie B. Bleiberg, and Edward O. Weselcouch Bristol-Myers Squibb Pharmaceutical Research Institute, Wallingford, CT 06492-7660 USA.

(Received in USA 14 December 1992)

Abstract The R and S enantiomers of the 1,4-dihydropyridine amide BMY 20014 (1) were prepared from the corresponding chiral carboxylic acids via carbonyldiimidazole couplings. Pharmacologically, the R-(-)-amide 5a demonstrates more potent Ca^{++} channel and α_1 -adrenoreceptor antagonist properties than the S-(+) isomer.

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is the primary cause of death in the United States.¹ Among the risk factors for CHD are hypertension and abnormal plasma lipid levels. Two types of pharmacological agents which are known to beneficially affect these risks factors are calcium (Ca^{++}) entry blockers and α_1 -adrenoreceptor antagonists.² Both types of drugs have been reported to control hypertension while α_1 -adrenoreceptor antagonists also favorably modify plasma lipids.³ Earlier, we prepared a series of compounds designed to incorporate structural features of both the Ca^{++} antagonist nifedipine and the α_1 -adrenoreceptor antagonist prazosin.⁴ From this series of compounds the combination Ca^{++} and selective α_1 -adrenoreceptor antagonist BMY 20014 (1) and related agents were identified.^{5,6} This report describes the chemical preparation and preliminary *in vitro* pharmacologic examination of both the R and S enantiomers of 1.

As shown below, BMY 42803 (5a) and BMY 42804 (5b) were prepared from the corresponding R-(-) and S-(+) 1,4-dihydropyridine carboxylic acids 2a and 2b, respectively. The chiral acids were obtained by fractional crystallizations of the racemic acid⁷ via their alkaloid salts.⁸ The quinidine salt of the racemic acid afforded the R-(-)-acid 2a and the (-)-cinchonidine salt gave the S-(+)-acid 2b.⁹ X-ray crystallographic studies on derivatives of these chiral dihydropyridines have been reported previously, allowing confident configurational assignments to be made.¹⁰ Both chiral acids were subsequently converted to the intermediate imidazolides 3a and 3b using carbonyldiimidazole (Im₂CO).^{11,12} Because of their vinylogous urea character, the resulting imidazolides were surprisingly stable intermediates which could be purified by recrystallization in refluxing alcoholic solvents. The final R and S amides 5a and 5b were obtained by treatment of the intermediate

imidazolides with aminopropylpiperazine 4¹³ in CH₃CN. As expected, no loss of enantiomeric purity was observed during the conversion of the acids to the amides. ¹⁴, ¹⁵

The *in vitro* Ca⁺⁺ channel and α_1 -adrenergic pharmacologic effects of 1 and enantiomers 5a and 5b are summarized in the Table. The standard reference agents nifedipine and prazosin and the chiral imidazolides 3a and 3b are also included. These data show that 5a, the R-(-)-enantiomer of 1, has the stereochemical configuration which favors binding in rat brain synaptosomes, while the S-(+)-enantiomer 5b is considerably less interactive at this site (K_i 's 16 vs 338 nM). This relationship is also maintained in a functional vascular assay against calcium-induced contractions in rat dorsal aorta (K_b 's of 2.9 vs 107 nM). In addition to the calcium results, the R-enantiomer 5a also displays a higher affinity for the α_1 -receptor in rat brain synaptosomes than does the S-enantiomer demonstrating a eudismic ratio of 8.9 (K_i 's 4.7 vs 42 nM). Similarly, 5a exhibits more potent functional α_1 -adrenergic antagonism in the inhibition of phenylephrine-induced contractions in rabbit dorsal aorta (K_b 's, 8.3 vs 50 nM) than does 5b. At this time, the origin of these α_1 -adrenergic effects are unclear considering that the center of stereochemical difference between 5a and 5b is in the dihydropyridine portion of the molecule and is remote from the arylpiperazine terminus. Glossman has reported similar stereoselective α_{1a} -adrenergic binding differences with the enantiomers of niguldipine.¹⁷

The distinctly greater Ca^{++} channel potency of $\mathbf{5a}$ vs $\mathbf{5b}$ is consistent with and supports the Goldmann dihydropyridine boat hypothesis. ¹⁸ As proposed, the the Goldmann model predicts that when the C-4 dihydropyridine substituent is depicted as a β -aryl bowsprit, the more active enantiomer should have the larger ester (or amide) group located on the so-called port side of the molecule. Thus for the more potent enantiomer R-(-)- $\mathbf{5a}$, the larger amide grouping is indeed located on the designated port side of the boat and is in agreement with the model. Interestingly, the precursor imidazolides $\mathbf{3a}$ and $\mathbf{3b}$ did not afford the expected results. Their observed $\mathbf{Ca^{++}}$ binding affinities in synaptosomes and functional $\mathbf{Ca^{++}}$ antagonism in vascular

Compounds	Receptor Binding		Functional Vascular Effects	
	$Ca^{++}(K_i, nM)^b$	$\alpha_1 (K_i, nM)^c$	$Ca^{++} (K_b, nM)^d$	$\alpha_1 (K_{b_1} nM)^e$
R-Amide 5a	16 (13-19)	4.6 (3.1-6.6)	2.9 (1.6-6.3)	8.3 (3.6-19)
S-Amide 5b	338 (152-695)	36 (31-43)	107 (79-158)	50 (21-117)
R,S-Amide 1	35 (27-44)	13 (9-18)	6.3 (4-12)	
R-Imidazolide 3a	181 (153-213)	>1000	31 (19-54)	
S-Imidazolide 3b	7.8 (6.9-8.4)	>1000	3.6 (2.2-5.5)	
Nifedipine	0.53 (0.4-0.64)	>1000	0.50 (0.2-1.6)	>1000
Prazosin	>1000	0.3 (±0.1)f	>1000	1.6 (0.5-5.5)

Table. In Vitro Pharmacological Results^a

strips were opposite to those of the corresponding amides 5a and 5b! Thus the configurational-activity relationship of the R-(-)- and S-(+)-imidazolides 3a and 3b does not appear to fit the same hypothesis as the amides. A possible explanation for this observation which is consistent with the Goldmann model might be that hydrogen bonding interactions between the imidazolide ring in 3b and the receptor site are more important for proper orientation than steric fit for these types of heterocyclic substituted 1,4-dihydropyridines.

Acknowledgments. We wish to express our appreciation to C. M. Combs for his assistance in obtaining spectral data and to C. C. Bryson and G. W. Dent for their biological assistance.

References and Notes

- 1. Petrillo, E. W., Jr.; Trippodo, N. C.; and DeForrest, J. M. Ann. Rep. Med. Chem. 1990, 25, 51.
- 2. Houston, M. C. Amer. Heart J. 1989, 117, 911.

a Values in parentheses represent the 95% confidence limits. b Displacement of [3H]-PN-200-110 in rat brain synaptosomes, (Janis, R. A.; Maurer, S. C.; Sarmiento, J. G.; Bolger, G. T.; and Triggle, D. J. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1982, 82, 191).

^c Displacement of [³H]-prazosin in rat brain synaptosomes, (Miach, P. J.; Dausse, J. P.; Cardot, A.; and Meyer, P. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol. 1980, 312, 23). ^d Contractions induced by K⁺ depolarization in rat dorsal aorta, (reference 5c).

e Contractions induced by phenylephrine in rabbit dorsal aorta, (reference 16). f SEM, (n=4).

- (a) Dustan, H. P. Hypertension 1989, 13, Suppl I, 137; (b) Grimm, R. H., Jr. ibid. 1989, 13, Suppl. I, 131; (c) Graham, R. M. Am. J. Med. 1989, 87, Suppl 2A, 53S; and (d) Jansen, H.; Lammers, R; Baggen, M. G. A.; Penders, J. M. A.; and Birkenhager, J. C. Life Sci. 1989, 44, 1013.
- (a) Poindexter, G. S. and Temple, D. L., Jr. U.S. Patent 4,755,512, 1988, (b) Poindexter, G. S. and Temple, D. L. U.S. Patent 4,895,846, 1990.
- 5. (a) Weselcouch E. O. and Baird, A. J. Clin. Hemorheol. 1989, 9, 973; (b) Weselcouch E. O. and Demusz, C. D. FASEB J. 1989, 3, A1182; and (c) Stanton, H. C.; Rosenberger, L. B.; Hanson, R. C.; Fleming, J. S. and Poindexter, G. S. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 1988, 11, 387.
- 6. BMY 20014 (1) displayed no significant affinity for the α₂-adrenoreceptor site in [³H]-clonidine binding studies using rat brain synaptosomes. For an example of this type of binding, see: Braunwalder, A; Stone, G.; and Lovell, R. A. J. Neurochem. 1981, 37, 70.
- 7. Wehinger, E. U.S. Patent 4,285,955, 1981.
- 8. Genain, G. U.S. Patent 4,920,225, 1990.
- 9. R-(-)-Acid **2a**: mp 188-189 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -18.84° (c=1.01, acetone). S-(+)-Acid **2b**: mp 187-188 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ +19.60° (c=0.954, acetone).
- 10. Tamazawa, K.; Arima, H.; Kojima, T.; Isomura, Y.; Okada, M.; Fujita, S.; Furuya, T.; Takenaka, T.; Inagaki, O.; and Terai, M. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 2504.
- 11. Paul, R. and Anderson, G. W. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 2094.
- 12. R-(-)-Imidazolide **3a**: mp 194-195 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -139.0° (c=0.790, CH₃OH); *Anal*. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₈N₄O₅: C, 59.69; H, 4.75; N, 14.66. Found: C, 59.49; H, 4.48; N, 14.56. S-(+)-Imidazolide **3b**: mp 195-196 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ + 139.0° (c=0.782, CH₃OH); *Anal*. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₈N₄O₅: C, 59.69; H, 4.75; N, 14.66. Found: C, 59.60; H, 4.55; N, 14.69.
- 13. Wu, Y. H.; Smith, K. R.; Rayburn, J. W.; and Kissel, J. W. J. Med. Chem. 1969, 12, 876.
- 14. R-(-)-Amide $\bf 5a \cdot HCl$: mp 216.5-218.5 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -10.81° (c=1.10, CH₃OH); Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₇N₅O₆ •HCl •0.3 H₂O: C, 59.51; H, 6.43; N, 11.57; H₂O, 0.89. Found: C, 59.16; H, 6.66; N, 11.26; H₂O, 0.73. S-(+)-Amide $\bf 5b \cdot HCl$: mp 218.5-220.5 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ +10.74° (c=1.10, CH₃OH); Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₇N₅O₆ •HCl •0.2 H₂O: C, 59.60; H, 6.42; N, 11.61; H₂O, 0.60. Found: C, 59.61; H, 6.68; N, 11.41; H₂O, 0.61.
- 15. The amides **5a** and **5b** were found to be >98% enantiomerically pure as determined by chiral HPLC analysis. The analysis was performed on a Baker Chiracel OD column (250x4.6 mm) using a mobil phase of 92:8 hexane:n-propanol containing 0.1% diethylamine at a flow rate of 1.8 mL/min.
- 16. Cylindrical rabbit dorsal aorta rings were suspended in tissue baths containing modified Tyrode solution and maintained at 3-g tension. Concentration-response curves to the agonist phenyephrine were conducted in the presence or absence of test compound after an incubation period of 1 h. The K_b values reported in the Table were determined from the dose-response curves. For K_b value calculations, see: Tallarida, R. J. and Murray, R. B. Manual of Pharmacologic Calculations, Springer-Verlag, 1987, p 297.
- 17. I. Graziadei, G. Zernig, R. Boer, and H. Glossman Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1989, 172, 329.
- 18. S. Goldmann and J. Stoltefuss Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1559